Studies in Upper Kuskokwim Athabaskan, a language of interior Alaska

Andrej A. Kibrik (Institute of Linguistics, Moscow) [kibrik@comtv.ru] Tomsk, June 26, 2002

1. Genealogical and geographical information

Na-dene (=Athabaskan-Eyak-Tlingit)

Tlingit

Eyak-Athabaskan

Eyak

Athabaskan (about 40 languages)

Northern: Slavy, Chipewyan, Upper Kuskokwim and other Alaskan...

Pacific: Hupa, Tolowa...

Southern (Apachean): Navajo, Western Apache...

2. Studies of Upper Kuskokwim Athabaskan (henceforth: UKA)

Prior linguistic work on UKA: Ray Collins and Betty Petruska and others Kibrik's fieldwork in Nikolai: about 4 months in 1997 about 4 months in 2001

Kibrik's projects:

- Sociolinguistic survey
- Phonetics and tonology
- Dialectology
- Grammatical research (the largest and most complex project)
- Limited lexicological work
- Collection of natural discourse
- I worked with 25 informants altogether, including 6 primary and 9 important informants

3. The scene

Bits of history of the Upper Uuskokwim people

- 1830s beginning of the Russian cultural influence
- Mid-19th century conversion to the Russian Orthodox religion
- Turn of the 19th/20th centuries the original village of Nikolai was founded
- 1948 launching of a school in Nikolai by American missionaries; abrupt transition from the nomadic to the settled lifestyle
- 1960s beginning of language shift from UKA to English

Sociocultural setting

- The population of UKA descent can be estimated as 200, about a half of them resides in the village of Nikolai on the South Fork of Kuskokwim, and the other half in Telida (3 persons), McGrath, Anchorage, Fairbanks, and elsewhere
- The majority of the permanent population of Nikolai (about 100) is of UKA origin, but there are several white American men married to local women
- The community is Russian Orthodox, and resisted the attempt to convert them to other versions of Christianity
- Russian Orthodox religion is by far the most visible element of traditional culture

- Church services are conducted in English but most hymns are sung in Old Church Slavonic, even though the Upper Kuskokwim people never spoke any Russian
- There are six main UKA family names in Nikolai that etymologically are Russian names or nicknames (Nikolai, Dennis, Esai, Gregory, Petruska, Alexia)

Sociolinguistic situation

- The UKA language is spoken by a few dozen people, almost exclusively over 50 years old
- UKA is the main means of communication only in two households, and there is a couple of dozen more where it is an "entertainment code"
- There are just several people, all older than 60 and most older than 80 for whom UKA can be considered the preferred code
- UKA has been taught in the Nikolai school since early 1970s; this period of time essentially coincided with the time when the shift to English was completed

4. The sociolinguistic survey

of about 60% of the overall population of Nikolai was conducted in 1997, with the assistance of Mira Bergelson.

Number of questionnaires distributed — 63

Number of questionnaires returned — 55, including:

adults — 42 high and middle school students — 8 elementary school students — 5

Some conclusions drawn from the survey

- 1) People essentially quit speaking UKA as the first language to their children in 1960s
- 2) 38% of the respondents (as well as independent research) link that attitude of young parents in 1960s with the influence of the missionary school started in Nikolai in 1948; concept of shame associated with it
- 3) UKA is used on a regular basis by every fifth respondent (10 people altogether in absolute numbers)
- 4) There are 20 to 30 full-fledged speakers of UKA in Nikolai (tested by means of a specially designed series of linguistic diagnostics), only two of which are under 50, and there are two or three households where UKA is still used between spouses on the daily basis
- 5) Nevertheless, 74% of respondents consider UKA their "native" language, 84% value the preservation efforts, and 91% would like their children to speak UKA.
- 6) Language competence of the people is far higher that the actual use of the language; that is, giving use to the language would largely depend on a conscious decision to do so.
- 7) Among younger adults (those in their 30s and 40s), there is a fair number of "latent speakers" who do not practice speaking the language but probably could do so without too much effort; they could also teach children more Athabaskan than they do now.
- 8) Absolutely all schoolchildren expressed keen interest in studying the language, and many of them, especially in elementary school, showed more knowledge of Athabaskan than one could expect. This means they are interested in learning the language and the bilingual program at school is potentially important.
- 9) If the current trends of language use remain as they are now, after a number of years the language will be completely lost
- 10) Some powerful factors that forced the shift to English in the 1960s do not exist anymore, school system now encourages the use of the native language, and it may be a good time now for language revival efforts; the main obastacle for that is the lack of energy and determination in the community

5. Dialectology

- There is very large dialectal variation across different speakes, especially considering the small size of the language community. Especially older speakers can be said to each have his or her own dialect
- Until mid-20th century the UKA people were semi-nomads, and lived by individual families or bands, dispersed in the Upper Kuskokwim drainage at great distances. The speech forms of the people who acquired UKA before the middle of the 20th century do not constitute a uniform language, that is rather a set of family-specific mutually intelligible dialects.
- When most UKA families moved into Nikolai in mid-20th century because of the opening of the school, a generation grew up that got a chance to develop a standardized language

Six series of lingual obstruents are reconstructed for Proto-Athabaskan (see e.g. Krauss and Golla 1981: 1972). UKA is among the most conservative languages in distinguishing all those series at least in some idiolects:

Interdental	Dental	Retroflex	Alveopalat	al	Lateral	Vela	ır	
t <u>s</u>	ts	tr	ch		tł	k		
System label		Speakers (age)			dental	Dental	Retroflex	Alveo- palatal
		D1 '1' E ' (102	0)	si ts u	tongue'	'snow'	'raven'	'stick'
	or no merger:	1	-		lar	tsetł'	do tr on'	di ch inh
Tanana type		Jim Nikolai (1934)						
Standard merg	ger, or loss of	Almost all speakers born in		si ts u	la?	tsetł'	do tr on'	di ch inh
interdentals: T	[setsaut type*]	1939 or later; Nick Dennis						
		(1928) is close too						
Merger of den	itals and	Lena Petruska (1909)		si ts u	la?	tsetł'	do ts on'	di ch inh
retroflex: Koy	ukon type**)	Catherine Deaphon (1917)						
		Bobby Esai (1918)						
		Junior Gregory (1926)						
Loss of interdentals and		Anna Alexia (1916)		si ts u	la?	tsetł'	do ts on'	di ch inh
retroflex: Ahtna type								
Partial loss of dentals and		Agnes Nikolai (1933)		si ts u	la?	chetł'	do tr on'	di ch inh
merger with alveopalatals:		Dora Esai (1934)						
Western Gwich'in type								

*) This system has been codified as the main one in the work of Ray Collins

**) Note that all the people displaying this type are from downriver, and their families have Yukon connections. But so does Philip Esai

<u>Apparent paradox</u>: All the people born in the 1900s, 1910s and early 1920s have the Koyukon type merger, those born in 1930s somehow "went back" to the fully distinctive system, and those born in 1940s and later all chose a different, Tsetsaut type merger.

<u>A sociolinguistic scenario</u> explaining that strange development:

- There was no unity across the dialects in the first half of the 20th century
- The people who grew up in Nikolai in 1940s and 1950s developed a leveled variety of the language with the Tsetsaut type merger

- There must have been some individuals after whom the standardized language was modeled
- The most likely candidates for that role are the people of the Dennis family, because they lived originally around the present-day Nikolai area
- Indeed Nick Dennis (1928) is the oldest person who has the standard system or at least is very close to it; his sister Dora Esai (1934) also has a close system
- It is a historical accident that none of the older generation people having either the fully distinctive system or the "standard" system survived

6. Possessiveness

(1) Primary possession

- a. hwsh 'root'
- b. mi-ghwy-a? 'its root'
- 3.Poss-root-Poss
- c. ts'ima ghwy-a² spruce root-Poss

- absolute vs. possessed form
- possessor prefixes
- root initial voicing
- possessive suffix
- root final voicing

- (2) Semantic range of "possessiveness":
- possession in the literal sense, such as "my sled"
- kin relationships ("my nephiew")
- spatial relations ("top of the mountain")
- part-whole relations ("my hand", "branches of the tree", "belt of a gun")
- social relationships ("my friend")
- relation between a referent and its attribute ("my name")
- relation between a referent and its activity ("my work")
- relation between an object and the material is is made of ("the metal of the axe")
- relation between an object and its origin (see example 3 below), etc.

(3)	yunan	jija-?	'non-native fruit' (such as apples, oranges, etc.)
	outside	berry-	Poss
(4)	mesruk	ye	mega 'sack of flour'
	sack	in	flour

(5) Formal types of UKA nouns in respect to primary possession

Туре	Label of the type	Patterns used	Typical representatives	Example
A*	Obligatorily possessed	"Possessor – STEM" only	Most kin terms	-onh 'mother'
	Ι		Spatial terms	-nedr 'middle'
			Animal shelters	-t'wh 'nest'
			Some part terms	-zo 'mouth'
			Attributes	-t'i 'pocket'
B*	Obligatorily possessed	"Possessor – STEM-a?" only	Most part terms	-lo- 'hand'
	II		Few kin terms	-dzadza-

				Products/attributes	'younger sister' -ta- 'broth'	
С*	Opti	onally possessed I		Belongings	ts'och 'plate'	
			"Possessor – STEM- a?"	Frequently detached	dił 'blood'	
				parts	gina 'friend'	
				Social relations	hwzosh 'story'	
				Cultural terms		
D	Opti	onally possessed	"STEM" or	various	ts'u 'milk'	
	II		"Possessor – STEM"		tin 'trail'	
E *	Турі	cally unpossessed	"STEM" or, marginally,	Natural phenomena	gwh 'rabbit'	
			"Possessor – STEM-a?"			
F	Unp	ossessible	"STEM" only	various	chela 'boy(s)'	
					?in?a 'mom'	
		*The as	terisk marks the major noun t	ypes		
		si-ch'idineje 1Sg.Poss-Indef	• •	'my moose'		
(7)	a.	si- <u>z</u> it'	'my liver' (part of my	hody)		
(')	u.	1Sg.Poss-liver	my mor (pure or my	()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()		
	b.	ch'i- <u>z</u> it'	'something's (some animal's) liver'		
		Indef.Poss-live	• •			
	c.	si-ch'ich'i- <u>z</u> i	it' 'my liver', lit. 'my sor	neone's liver' (animal liver	·I own)	
	1Sg.Poss-Indef.PossIndef.Poss-liver					
	d.	si-ch'idineje	zit' 'my moose live	er'		
		1Sg.Poss-Indef	Possmooseliver			
(8) T	he rang	ge of secondary po	ssession			
n	atural p	ohenomena	dinach'imina?	'our lake'		
• animal body parts			sich'ich'it'o?	my wing'		
• some less typical belongings			gs sich'isinmol 'n	ny gun cleaning rod'		
	ood			siy'duyuk 'my salt'		
• h	uman t	itles	dinach'idoyona	a? 'our chief'		
			2			

(9) Secondary possession is systematically used in code mixing, to integrate English nouns in UKA discourse. "Lunch"

ND	nidogh	nenwhtonh	ywh	-ch'iBOAT	
	where	you guys put	your	Indfboat?	

7. Verb structure

"Standard average Athabaskan" verb template (D[erivation], I[nflection], Q[uasi-inflection])

Q/D	18	proclitic
Ι	17	(b) Oblique +

Q/D		(a) preverb
D	16	various derivational
Ι	15	reflexive Accusative pronoun
Q	14	iterative
Q Q	13	distributive
D	12	incorporate
Q	11	number
Ι	10	Accusative pronoun
Ι	9	non-1/2 person Nominative pronoun
Q	8	transitivity decrease
D	7	qualifier
Q/D	6	inceptive
D	5	qualifier
Ι	4	conjugation
Ι	3	mode
Ι	2	1/2 person Nominative pronoun
I/D	1	transitivity indicator
	0	root
I&D?	+1	mode/aspect suffix
Q	+2	enclitic

8. Typologically unusual and even unique grammatical properties of the verb

- \star high polysynthesis and morphological complexity
- \star almost exclusive prefixation, combined with postpositions and verb-final word order
- ★ poor correspondence between semantic categories and template positions one semantic category in more than one alternative position one semantic category simultaneously in more than one position multiple cases of obviously inexplicable homophony and allomorphy in gramm. morphemes
- \star affix ordering is not governed by semantic scope
- \star extreme concern for expressing aspectual meanings
- \star rich system of transitivity marking
- \star referent classification in verbal semantics
- \star bizarre morphophonemics

9. Locative/directional adverbs

(10) Three elements necessary for explaining locative/directional adverbs:

- trajector
- location (landmark)
- viewer (often coincides with the speaker)

(11) The relative positions of the viewer and location are established at any moment, and the position/movement of trajector is defined in respect to that relative position

(12) UKA locative/directional adverbs

<i>Location in relation to the viewer</i>	Position at a larger area	<i>Movements towards a location</i>	Position at a specific place	<i>Movement from location towards viewer</i>			
	Upriver/do	wnriver axis					
downriver	yodogh	yodo? —	►	yodots'			
upriver	yonwgh	yona? —	► yonet	yonets'			
upriver nearby/	yi?ogh —	► yo?in	•	yi?ots'			
in an open area							
	Higher/	lower axis					
higher up, away from the river	yongw	yongi	yongwt	yonwhts'e?			
high up, at an elevation	yodigw	yodigi		yodwhts'e?			
lower, closer to the river	yotswgh	yotsin		yotsets'			
lower		yoygi	yoygit				
Orientation in respect to a body of water							
closer to the center of a body of water	yonswgh ——	➤ yonsi(t')	yonsit'~yonsit	yonsits'			
across a space (body of water, trail)	yonots'wgh	yonan	yonots'in	yonots'			

10. Gaps – non-existent lexical groups

- interjections -- such as 'ouch', oops
- ideophones, such as 'bang'
- partitives, such as 'two of my dogs'
- debitives and obligation
- ordinal numerals 'first', 'second'
- negative pronouns, such as 'nothing', 'nobody', 'nowhere'